**Guide for the Evaluation of Survey proposals submitted to REPEM DefinitiveV1 – 29.10.19**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Survey title:** |  |
| **Year:** |  |
| **Submissionstage:** | First submission / revised – second stage submission (\*indicate what is applicable) |
| **Reviewer:** |  |
| **Reviewer’s disclosure** *Please disclose any relations with the research group or the topic for recent projects and whether this may have influenced your judgement* |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Sufficient** | **Poor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Relevance**
2. Importance of the subject to PEM (how will achieved aims improve patient care, outcome meaningful for future studies/plans)
3. Relevance for REPEM (Innovative/additional value to current knowledge, congruent with REPEM research agenda, is REPEM the right group, awareness of ongoing/planned studies conflicting with this proposal)

*Priorities REPEM: conditions*: sepsis, fever, resp infections and trauma, *domains* biomarkers, risk stratification, practice variation

1. **Overall quality**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Sufficient** | **Poor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Sufficient** | **Poor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Quality Survey development methodology**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Sufficient** | **Poor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Quality Survey content**

Consider: Clarity, length, flow

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Sufficient** | **Poor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Feasibility**

Consider: Objectives to be achieved, Realistic phasing/timetable, Realistic number of institutes, recruitment of participants plan

Expected participation of REPEM partners (interest/workload); is the survey outcome dependent on the number of REPEM sites?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very good** | **Good** | **Fair** | **Sufficient** | **Poor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Project/ research group**

Consider: Relevant expertise, Familiarity with research area, Prior activities and products

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Obtained** | **Not obtained but essential** | **Not essential** |
|  |  |  |

1. **Budget/Funding:**